Case 5:06-mj-70807-MRGD Document 4 Filed 12/21/06 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AME	RICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number _	CR06-70807HRL
V.		_	
OTILIO ORTIZ GUZMAN	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION	ON PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the	ne Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.	C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was	s held on December 21, 2006
Defendant was present, repres	sented by his attorney Nick I	<u>Humy</u> AFPD. The United States was	represented by Assistant U.S.
Attorney Susan Knight .	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APP			
/ / The defendant is	charged with an offense des	scribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and	d the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in	. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) whi	le on release pending trial for a feder	ral, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since th	e date of conviction or the release of	the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.			
		condition or combination of condition	ons will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the co			
has committed an offense	e cause based upon (the indi-	ctment) (the facts found in Part IV b	elow) to believe that the defendant
	malada a manada manada a co		
		mprisonment of 10 years or more is p	prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
B und	et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 95	55a et seq., OR	c. c.i. FII Fin
This establishes a reh	or to 0.5.C. y 924(c), use of	f a firearm during the commission of condition or combination of condition	ra relony. FILED
appearance of the defendant a	s required and the safety of t	be community	ons will reasonably assure the
No presumption		ne continuinty.	DEU 2 1 2006
PART II DEBUTTAL OF DEPOLARMENTONS IN ARRANGED TO			RICHARD W. WIEKING
/ / The defendant ha	is not come forward with sur	fficient evidence to rebut the applica	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT ble prositing up by Strict Court
therefore will be ordered detail	ned.	The second secon	SAN JOSE
/ / The defendant ha	as come forward with eviden	ice to rebut the applicable presumption	on[s] to wit:
	roof shifts back to the Unite		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PI	RESUMPTIONS REBUTTED O	R INAPPLICABLE)	
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR			
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
reasonably assure the safety of		•	
		Γ OF REASONS FOR DETENTION	
		set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and al	ll of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follow			
	orney, and the AUSA have	waived written findings.	
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGAR			
		orney General or his designated repre	
			r being held in custody pending appea
		private consultation with defense counent, the person in charge of the corr	
		ppearance in connection with a cour	
ichdant to the Office States Wa	ishar for the purpose of all a	ppearance in connection with a conf	t proceeding.
11.		1 h Lm = -	1
sted: 12/21/06		1 py	-1
	- F	HOWARD R. LLOYD	

United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____